

**Stars2/
Permitting Call
07/28/2015
9:30AM-11:30AM**

<https://ohioepa.webex.com>

- Meeting found under "Meeting Center"
- Password for meeting: **stars24u**
- Call in # 1-877-668-4490 Access code: 684 984 700

Agenda

#	Topic	Speaker
1	<p>Case-by-Case Permit Question Related to GP non-qualifiers SEDO – Christina Wieg</p> <p>SEDO has some applications in from American Energy that are asking for the GP and case by case additional tanks. We will be reaching out to them to tell them that we will need to have applications for case-by-case because they do not qualify for the GPs. Before we did this we had some questions for your:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should they update their application with what would typically would for a normal case-by-case permit (calculations, EACs, etc.)? We are assuming yes. • You mentioned in your email that we would write a case-by-case permit but they could have terms identical to the GP. When we have been writing case-by-case permits for these sites, we may use some of the terms from the GP, but we don't use identical allowable and BAT citations. We decide BAT case-by-case for each engine, etc. and would not be using EU's that include "up to" language and allowable. Is this acceptable or did you actually mean we use identical terms to the GP? <p>In addition, we have some GPs that have been issued at these sites and pending tank applications to add. You had mentioned in your voicemail that we typically do not revoke in this situation but would do a chapter 31 modification to the issued GP. Is this how you would like us to proceed? If so, the question above pertains to these sources as well as to what terms would (if any) stay identical to the GP (such as sources that can stay within the confines of the GP) and what EUs we would be doing case-by-case on.</p>	Mike Hopkins
2	<p>Sarah Buzas Cleveland DAQ</p> <p>We have been facing a bit of an issue with the general permit for storage piles and construction and demolition debris recycling operations. Lately we are receiving general permit applications for storage piles from facilities that are accepting construction and demolition debris from sites that may or may not contain asbestos-containing materials. It is our concern that the language in the GPs for storage piles do not adequately address asbestos-containing materials and does not cite any of the asbestos regulations we would hope to see for facilities handling this type of materials (40 CFR Subpart M and any additional handling requirements for friable materials).</p> <p>To help with the asbestos side of the material handling, we have been issuing material handling/pile permits as standard PTIOs, using the GP language and adding any applicable asbestos language to the permit as well. We were wondering how other offices are handling this situation. This seems to be a problem we are facing almost weekly in our office as more of this type of operation pops up.</p>	Mike Hopkins
3	<p>Air Services users can see Federal Rules but not the associated pollutants. Our initial design didn't want to show the Federal Rules because those are completed internally and are optional, therefore there would be no guarantee of accuracy across the system.</p> <p>Review: How the data in the Federal Rules section is updated (automatically from permits? manually?). Also, are we required to check and update this section of the facility profile? Can/Does Air Services users update this information?</p> <p>Decision: Need to decide to remove them altogether or include the pollutants.</p>	Elisa Thomas

4	<p>Stars2 Changes – version 2.2.4 / 2.2.5</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permit status report is new and improved • Late Permit report is gone • Attachment Search under 'Tools' Menu 	Elisa Thomas
5	<p>Stars2 new correspondence & attachments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New categories in the “other” compliance report picklist: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 'Asbestos 45 day notification for potential to disturb' • New Stars2 Outgoing correspondence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Compliance – Stage II Compliance Test Follow Up ○ Compliance – Boiler MACT Extension Approval Letter ○ Compliance – Boiler MACT Extension Denial Letter ○ Compliance – Other MACT Extension Approval Letter ○ Compliance – Stage II Compliance Test Follow Up ○ Emissions Test – Stack Test Extension • 'Company Response to NOV' is now a new attachment type in enforcement detail area. 	Elisa Thomas
6	<p>Discuss: Certified Mail cards/proof of delivery. What are we currently doing with these? Do we want/need them in Stars2? Where should we put them if we do want them in Stars2? Goal -> Consistent approach.</p>	Elisa Thomas
7	<p>Backfile NWDO - How to upload old stack tests into Stars2?</p>	Elisa Thomas
8	<p>Stars2 where does this belong? NWDO</p> <p>Where do we put complaints in Stars2 when we do not go out to investigate?</p> <p>Can we link a Company's response to a NOV and its related enforcement action?</p> <p>When uploading malfunction notifications for a facility, should those go under '<i>compliance reports- other- malfunction notification</i>'? What is the '<i>legacy-malfunction notification</i>' in attachments for?</p>	Elisa & Erica
9	<p>NEDO</p> <p>We have recently been told that there is a 60 MB size restriction on attachments uploaded into Stars2. At first we didn't think this would be an issue but it already is. We have a test plan that has been submitted (confidential and non-confidential versions) that are 430 MB each. I'm not sure how to split that into 60 MB attachments and I'm not sure we would want to go that route anyway. Is there a way we can attach files bigger than 60 MB? We expect this to happen at a minimum for test plans and test results for tests for our hazardous waste incinerator facilities.</p>	Erica Engel-Ishida
10	<p>PIDM Staffing Changes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Answer Place Topic 2261, "<i>Contacts for Help...</i>" will be updated to revise staff assignments 	Erica Engel-Ishida
11	<p>September Stars2 call has been moved to 9/22 due to conflict with September P&E Meeting.</p>	Erica Engel-Ishida
12	<p>LAA IT Issues</p> <p>Terri @ Canton</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why are some staff at the Canton LAA seeing the new Ohio EPA logo in the F5 and STARS2 windows, but others are not? • The changes to the F5 was supposed to reduce the size of the "banner" but this has not occurred. Is this still an option? 	Erica Engel-Ishida

