

Figure 4. Ecological Resource Map Illustrating Vegetation Communities for the Sugar Creek Bridge Replacement Project, Tuscarawas County, Ohio (TUS-TR 62-3.05, PID 75580).

 Floodplain Forest		 Upland Forest		 Construction Limits	
	Project No. 0603.020		February 27, 2011		
			DA Processing No. 2011-00371-TUS Tuscarawas County, Ohio Quad: Strasburg Sheet 3 of 3		

ATTACHMENT

PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): August 17, 2011

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON REQUESTING PRELIMINARY JD:
Dane Vandewater, BHE Environmental Inc., 11733 Chesterdale Road,
Cincinnati, Ohio 45246

C. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Huntington District,
Tuscarawas County Engineer, Kaylor Road Bridge Replacement, South Fork
Sugar Creek Bridge Replacement, PID 75580; 2011-00371-TUS

**D. PROJECT LOCATION(S) AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
(USE THE ATTACHED TABLE TO DOCUMENT MULTIPLE WATERBODIES
AT DIFFERENT SITES)**

State: Ohio County/parish/borough: Tuscarawas City: Wayne Township
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat.
40.61545°N, Long. -81.60158°W.

Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: South Fork Sugar Creek

Identify (estimate) amount of waters in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: 60 linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Cowardin Class: riverine

Stream Flow: perennial

Wetlands: 0.022 acres.

Cowardin Class: palustrine

Name of any water bodies on the site that have been identified as Section 10
waters:

Tidal:

Non-Tidal:

**E. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT
APPLY):**

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: August 17, 2011

Field Determination. Date(s): July 7, 2011

1. The Corps of Engineers believes that there may be jurisdictional waters of the
United States on the subject site, and the permit applicant or other affected party

who requested this preliminary JD is hereby advised of his or her option to request and obtain an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) for that site. Nevertheless, the permit applicant or other person who requested this preliminary JD has declined to exercise the option to obtain an approved JD in this instance and at this time.

2. In any circumstance where a permit applicant obtains an individual permit, or a Nationwide General Permit (NWP) or other general permit verification requiring "pre-construction notification" (PCN), or requests verification for a non-reporting NWP or other general permit, and the permit applicant has not requested an approved JD for the activity, the permit applicant is hereby made aware of the following: (1) the permit applicant has elected to seek a permit authorization based on a preliminary JD, which does not make an official determination of jurisdictional waters; (2) that the applicant has the option to request an approved JD before accepting the terms and conditions of the permit authorization, and that basing a permit authorization on an approved JD could possibly result in less compensatory mitigation being required or different special conditions; (3) that the applicant has the right to request an individual permit rather than accepting the terms and conditions of the NWP or other general permit authorization; (4) that the applicant can accept a permit authorization and thereby agree to comply with all the terms and conditions of that permit, including whatever mitigation requirements the Corps has determined to be necessary; (5) that undertaking any activity in reliance upon the subject permit authorization without requesting an approved JD constitutes the applicant's acceptance of the use of the preliminary JD, but that either form of JD will be processed as soon as is practicable; (6) accepting a permit authorization (e.g., signing a proffered individual permit) or undertaking any activity in reliance on any form of Corps permit authorization based on a preliminary JD constitutes agreement that all wetlands and other water bodies on the site affected in any way by that activity are jurisdictional waters of the United States, and precludes any challenge to such jurisdiction in any administrative or judicial compliance or enforcement action, or in any administrative appeal or in any Federal court; and (7) whether the applicant elects to use either an approved JD or a preliminary JD, that JD will be processed as soon as is practicable. Further, an approved JD, a proffered individual permit (and all terms and conditions contained therein), or individual permit denial can be administratively appealed pursuant to 33 C.F.R. Part 331, and that in any administrative appeal, jurisdictional issues can be raised (see 33 C.F.R. 331.5(a)(2)). If, during that administrative appeal, it becomes necessary to make an official determination whether CWA jurisdiction exists over a site, or to provide an official delineation of jurisdictional waters on the site, the Corps will provide an approved JD to accomplish that result, as soon as is practicable. This preliminary JD finds that there "may be" waters of the United States on the subject project site, and identifies all aquatic features on the site that could be affected by the proposed activity, based on the following information:


SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for preliminary JD (check all that apply

- checked items should be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Ohio Department of Transportation, TUS-TR 62-3.05/Sugar Creek Bridge Replacement," with Environmental Resource Mapping performed by BHE Environmental Inc.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
 - USGS NHD data.
 - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Strasburg quad .
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Tuscarawas County Soil Survey.
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Strasburg quad.
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
- FEMA/FIRM maps:
- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs:
 - Aerial (Name & Date): "Ohio Department of Transportation, TUS-TR 62-3.05/Sugar Creek Bridge Replacement," with Environmental Resource Mapping performed by BHE Environmental Inc.
 - or Other (Name & Date): "Ohio Department of Transportation, TUS-TR 62-3.05/Sugar Creek Bridge Replacement," with Environmental Resource Mapping performed by BHE Environmental Inc.
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Other information (please specify):

IMPORTANT NOTE: The information recorded on this form has not necessarily been verified by the Corps and should not be relied upon for later jurisdictional determinations.


Signature and date of
Regulatory Project Manager
(REQUIRED)


Signature and date of
person requesting preliminary JD
(REQUIRED, unless obtaining the
signature is impracticable)